

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಅವರನ್ನು ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಕಾನ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಬಲ್‌ಗೆ, ಹೆಡ್‌ಕಾನ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಬಲ್‌ಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಬ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್‌ಗೆ, ಯಾವ ದರ್ಜೆಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—As I said earlier, the Telephone Employees' Union have addressed a letter to the Chief Engineer, Telegraphs, requesting him to communicate to the Superintendent of Police to enquire into it. That was the complaint that was referred to.

Mr. SPEAKER.—No more supplementaries. Question Hour is over. Next, calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance by Sri S. S. Arakeri.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Facilities provided under the Bombay Tenancy Act

*Q.—466. Sri D. S. NADAKARNI (Ankola).—

Will the Minister for Revenue and Forests be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have received any order from the Planning Commission and the Government of India not to withdraw the facilities provided under the Bombay Tenancy Act;

(b) the reason for withdrawing the facilities provided to North Karnataka area, by the Bombay Tenancy Act?

A.—Sri B. RACHAIAH (Minister for Revenue and Forests).—

(a) No. The Government of India had suggested to the State Government that the rights and privileges that had already accrued to the Tenants under the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1948 should not be curtailed or abridged.

(b) In unifying the Tenancy Laws in force in the five different integrated areas of the State prior to 2-10-1965 and bringing into force the Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1961 uniformly applicable to the whole of the State of Mysore, the facilities provided to the North Karnatak area by the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1948 were kept in view and as far as possible the rights and privileges that had already accrued to the tenants in that area under the law then in force have not been abridge for curtailed.